Original Article

Repeatability and comparison of Corvis ST parameters before and after accelerated transepithelial corneal crosslinking in keratoconus eyes

Kai-Li Yang, Yu-Wei Gu, Qi Fan, Li-Yan Xu, Chen-Jiu Pang, Sheng-Wei Ren

Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Henan Eye Hospital, Henan Eye Institute, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan University People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China

Correspondence to: Chen-Jiu Pang. Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Henan Eye Hospital, Henan Eye Institute, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan University People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China. pangcj999@163.com; Sheng-Wei Ren. Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Henan Eye Hospital, Henan Eye Institute, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan University People's Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan Province, China. shengweiren1984@163.com

Received: 2020-04-08 Accepted: 2020-08-21

Abstract

• **AIM:** To evaluate the repeatability and comparison of corneal visualization scheimpflug technology (Corvis ST) parameters in keratoconus eyes before and after accelerated transepithelial corneal cross-linking (ATE-CXL).

• **METHODS:** Thirty eyes of 30 progressive keratoconus patients were included in the prospective study. Three repeated corneal biomechanical measurements were performed preoperatively and one month postoperatively by Corvis ST. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and 95% confidence interval (*Cl*), Cronbach' α , repeatability coefficient (RC), and coefficient of variation (CV) were used to evaluate the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters. Paired *t* test or Wilcoxon rank test was used to evaluate the differences between preoperative and postoperative data.

• **RESULTS:** At preoperative, 26 of 39 (66.67%) parameters showed good to excellent repeatability, 6 (15.38%) showed moderate, and 7 (17.95%) showed poor repeatability. Similarly, 34 (87.18%) parameters showed good to excellent repeatability, 3 (7.69%) showed moderate, and 2 (5.13%) showed poor repeatability after ATE-CXL. After

ATE-CXL 1mo, the intraocular pressure (IOP), biomechanical corrected IOP (bIOP), first applanation time (A1T), Radius, deformation amplitude at the first applanation (A1DA), deflection length at the maximum deformation (HCDLL) and stiffness parameter at first applanation (SP A1) parameters increased, while the steep keratometry (Ks), flat keratometry (Kf), mean keratometry (Kmean), second applanation time (A2T), DA Ratio Max (2 mm) and integrated radius parameters decreased (all *P*<0.05).

• **CONCLUSION:** The repeatability of the Corvis ST parameters before and 1mo ATE-CXL follow up were both acceptable, and the corneal stiffness was improved after 1-month ATE-CXL.

• **KEYWORDS:** keratoconus; accelerated transepithelial corneal cross-linking; Corvis ST parameters; repeatability; early corneal biomechanical changes

DOI:10.18240/ier.2021.04.03

Citation: Yang KL, Gu YW, Fan Q, Xu LY, Pang CJ, Ren SW. Repeatability and comparison of Corvis ST parameters before and after accelerated transepithelial corneal cross-linking in keratoconus eyes. *Int Eye Res* 2021;2(4):190-198

INTRODUCTION

K eratoconus is a progressive corneal disorder characterized by progressive corneal thinning and increasing conical shape^[1]. Previous study reported the disease typically presents in teenage years, and leads to irregular astigmatism and visual impairment^[2]. The current options for improving visual acuity mainly included glasses, rigid gas permeable contact lenses (RGP), intracorneal ring segments (ICRS), corneal cross-linking (CXL) and corneal transplantation^[3]. As a method halting the progression of keratoconus, CXL method was shown to be an effective procedure for progressive keratoconus by increasing the corneal stiffness^[4].

The CXL is a photochemical reaction utilizing ultraviolet (UV) A light and riboflavin as a photosensitizer^[5], and induces covalent cross-link bonds between collagen fibers in the

This article is based on a study first reported in the *Guoji* Yanke Zazhi (Int Eye Sci) 2021;21(1):1-8.

corneal stroma^[6]. The CXL could halt the progression of keratoconus via increasing the corneal biomechanical strength and stability^[7]. The accelerated transepithelial CXL (ATE-CXL), in which the epithelium is not removed, was developed to maintain the integrity of the corneal epithelium layer and decrease the risk of postoperative infection^[8-9]. Several studies have reported the ATE-CXL was safe and effective in terms of the topographic outcomes of keratoconus^[10-11]. Previous study suggested that the dynamic corneal response (DCR) parameters following CXL might be changed before corneal shape modifications^[2], but the study related to the efficacy of corneal biomechanical parameters was limited. The Corneal Visualization Scheimpflug Technology (Corvis ST) is a relatively new noncontact tonometer, which is available to measure the corneal biomechanical properties^[12-13]. Recent study reported the Corvis ST could detect changes in corneal biomechanical properties after CXL, and these researches mostly assessed the corneal biomechanical changes after conventional CXL or epithelium off CXL in eyes with keratoconus^[14-15]. The study reporting the changes of Corvis ST parameters after ATE-CXL remains limited. In addition, previous studies reported that the repeatability of corneal biomechanical parameters were acceptable in normal and keratoconus eyes^[16-17]. However, the study evaluating the repeatability of these parameters after ATE-CXL was still limited. Thus, the current study aimed to evaluate the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters preoperative and postoperative 1-month, and further compared the corneal biomechanical changes after ATE-CXL in patients with keratoconus.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Henan Eye Hospital [ethical approval number: HNEECKY-2019(5)]. This study has been registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Register (Registration number: ChiCTR-1900023046), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients or the legal guardian of pediatric keratoconus.

Patients with keratoconus underwent the treatment of ATE-CXL at Henan Eye Hospital & Henan Eye Institute between March 2019 and August 2019. Progressive keratoconus eyes that have increments of a maximal keratometry or an astigmatic degree for at least 1.00 D in the last year were included in the research^[10]. Subjects with anterior stromal scar, thinnest corneal thickness (TCT) less than 400 μ m, previous other ocular diseases and a history of ocular surgeries were excluded. Finally, thirty eyes of 30 keratoconus patients aged 21.7±4.3y were recruited in the current prospective study. **Examinations** The basic characters of participants were collected through medical records. The ocular examinations, which included best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), slit lamp examination, and ophthalmoscope examination, were performed by one experienced operator between 9:00 and 17:00. The mean endothelium cell density (MCD) was measured by a noncontact specular microscope (Tomey, EM-3000, Tokyo, Japan). The corneal tomographic measurements were performed by swept-source anterior segment optical coherence tomography machine (Casia SS-1000, Tomey, Nagoya, Japan), and the steep keratometry (Ks), flat keratometry (Kf), and mean keratometry (Kmean) and apex corneal thickness (ACT) and TCT were recorded.

Corvis ST (Oculus 72100, Wetzlar, Germany) takes Scheimpflug images of the anterior segment at a rate of 4330 frames/s and collects approximately 140 horizontal section images^[18-19]. It captures the corneal curvature changes in space and time with an air pulse. The corneal biomechanical response contains three phases: first applanation, highest concavity and second applanation^[20]. The values of applanation times, velocities, deformation amplitude (DA), deflection length (DLL), deflection area (DLAr) and delta arc length (dArcL) at three phases were recorded. In addition, the intraocular pressure (IOP), biomechanical corrected intraocular pressure (bIOP), central corneal thickness (CCT), peak distance (PD), radius and whole eye movement were presented. The new Corvis ST parameters were also added in current study: the maximum value of the ratio between deformation amplitude at the apex 1 and 2 mm from central cornea [DA Ratio Max (1 mm), DA Ratio Max (2 mm)], max inverse radius, integrated radius, Ambrósio's relational thickness horizontal (ARTh), stiffness parameter at the first applanation (SP A1) and Corvis biomechanical index (CBI). The detailed descriptions of parameters were shown in Table 1. Three repeated measurements of preoperative and postoperative 1-month were examined by the same Corvis ST Software and only examinations with good quality scores that enabled calculation of all deformation parameters were included in the analysis. All Corvis ST measurements were performed by a single experienced operator (QF) with 2-5min interval^[12].

Surgical Technique ATE-CXL surgery was performed by one professional ophthalmologist. Before the surgery, topical anesthetic eye drops were applied. After a lid speculum was used, paracel solution (0.25% riboflavin-5-phosphate, hydroxylpropyl methylcellulose, NaCl, ethylenediaminetetraaceticacid, Tris, and benzalkonium chloride) was used every 60s in 4min. The cornea was then continually infiltrated with Vibex-Xtra Solution (riboflavin phosphate 2.80 mg/mL and NaCl) every 60s in 6min. After the cornea was rinsed with balanced salt solution (BBS), UV treatment (irradiation intensity: 45 mW/

Repeatability and comparison of Corvis ST parameters before and after accelerated transepithelial corneal cross-linking in keratoconus eyes

Table 1 The clinical parameters of keratoconus eyes					
Parameters	Preoperative	Postoperative	Differences	t/Z	Р
BCVA (logMAR)	0.44±0.38	0.41±0.28	0.00±0.17	0.678	0.503
MCD (cells/mm ²)	2827.97±274.57	2720.17±244.25	107.80 ± 290.64	2.032	0.051
Ks (D), median (IQR)	51.15 (48.23, 53.13)	50.60 (48.75, 54.08)	-0.40 (-0.10, 0.33)	-2.213	0.027
Kf (D), median (IQR)	48.30 (44.68, 49.35)	48.40 (44.83, 50.10)	-0.50 (-0.98, 0.13)	-2.998	0.003
Kmean (D), median (IQR)	49.80 (46.43, 51.18)	49.55 (46.58, 52.15)	-0.40 (-0.90, 0.10)	-2.960	0.003
ACT (µm), median (IQR)	457.89±29.57	456.54±25.64	1.27±9.72	0.714	0.482
TCT (µm), median (IQR)	433 (410.25, 449.25)	431 (411.25, 447.25)	$1.57{\pm}7.06$	-0.445	0.656

BCVA: Best corrected visual acuity; MCD: Mean endothelium cell density; Ks: Steep keratometry; Kf: Flat keratometry; Kmean: Mean keratometry; ACT: Apex corneal thickness; TCT: Thinnest corneal thickness; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range.

cm²; pulse irradiation mode: one second on, next second off; total irradiation energy: 7.2 J) using Avedro's KXL System for 320s with BBS used to keep the ocular surface moist. Then, a bandage contact lens was applied after the procedure. The postoperative medications containing levofloxacin (4 times daily for 2wk), 0.1% fluorometholone (4 times per day for 1mo), and artificial tears (4 times daily for 3mo) were used after the surgery completed.

Statistical Analysis The normality of continuous data was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The mean±standard deviation (SD) was applied to described normally distributed data, and median (IQR, interquartile range) for not. The repeatability is defined as the SD observed upon multiple measurements of the same eye. It is considered as a method to evaluate the reliability and precision of Corvis ST measurements^[21]. The interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and 95% confidence interval (CI), Cronbach' a, repeatability coefficient (RC), and coefficient of variation (CV) were used to evaluate the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters. The ICC≥0.75 means good to excellent repeatability, and ICC ≥ 0.60 means moderate, and ICC < 0.60 means poor repeatability^[22]. The RC was calculated as 2.77× within-subject SD $(S_w)^{[23]}$. The paired t test or Wilcoxon rank test was used to evaluate the differences between preoperative and postoperative data. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23.0 software package, and P<0.05 (two-tailed) was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The Clinical Parameters in Eyes with Keratoconus No postoperative complications were found at 1mo follow up of ATE-CXL. The clinical parameters of keratoconus patients were shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in BCVA, MCD, ACT and TCT before and after ATE-CXL over the 1mo postoperatively (P>0.05). The values of Ks, Kf and Kmean changed from 51.15 (48.23, 53.13), 48.30 (44.68, 49.35) and 49.80 (46.43, 51.18) preoperatively to 50.60 (48.75, 54.08), 48.40 (44.83, 50.10), and 49.55 (46.58, 52.15) postoperatively, respectively (all P<0.05).

Repeatability of the Corvis ST parameters in eyes with keratoconus The CV, Cronbach' α , ICC (95%CI), S_w and RC values of each parameter were shown in Table 2 (preoperative) and Table 3 (postoperative 1mo). At preoperative, 26 (66.67%) of 39 parameters showed good to excellent repeatability (ICC \geq 0.75), 6 (15.38%) showed moderate (ICC \geq 0.60), and 7 (17.95%) showed poor repeatability (ICC<0.60). Similarly, 34 (87.18%) showed good to excellent repeatability, 3 (7.69%) showed moderate, and 2 (5.13%) showed poor repeatability at 1mo ATE-CXL follow up. The RC values of integrated radius and SP A1 were 3.76 and 22.85 at preoperative, and decreased to 2.35 and 16.53 at 1mo ATE-CXL follow up, separately.

Comparison of Corvis ST Measurements Between Preoperative and 1mo Postoperative The IOP and bIOP measured by Corvis ST Software increased from 12.87 \pm 2.14, 14.61 \pm 1.93 preoperatively to 14.08 \pm 2.35, 15.99 \pm 2.22 postoperatively (Table 4, *P*<0.05). The A1T, Radius, A1DA, HCDLL and SP A1 increased, while A2T, DA Ratio Max (2 mm) and integrated radius decreased after ATE-CXL (*P*<0.05).

DISCUSSION

The early changes of DCR parameters following ATE-CXL occurred before shape modifications, and could be detected by Corvis ST Software. The current study demonstrated that the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters before and after ATE-CXL 1-month were both acceptable. The parameters of IOP, bIOP, A1T, Radius, A1DA, HCDLL, SP A1 increased, while Ks, Kf, Kmean, A2T, DA Ratio Max (2 mm), and integrated radius decreased at 1mo ATE-CXL.

The Corvis ST reports a variety of biomechanical parameters correlated with the applanation events^[24-25]. Recent studies have assessed the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters, and the results showed that Corvis ST parameters were reliable in normal eyes and keratoconus eyes^[17,26]. Compared to Ye *et al*^[26] study that reported the ICC values of IOP, CCT, A1DLL, A2DLL, A1V, A2V, PD and Radius were 0.78, 0.98, 0.30, 0.32, 0.81, 0.50, 0.56 and 0.20, separately, the current study had higher ICC values of above parameters in keratoconus

Int Eye Res,	Vol.	2,	No. 4,	Dec.28,	2021	www.ijo.cn
Tel: 8629-822451	72	86	29-822	10956	Email:	ijopress@163.com

atability of Comis ST nonomotors

Table 2 Repeatability of Corvis ST par	ameters at pre	operative			<i>n</i> =30
Parameters	CV (%)	Cronbach' α	ICC (95%CI)	S w	RC
IOP (mm Hg)	0.17	0.905	0.908 (0.832, 0.953)	1.12	3.11
CCT (µm)	0.06	0.965	0.964 (0.935, 0.982)	8.93	24.74
DA Max (mm)	0.09	0.921	0.918 (0.850, 0.958)	0.06	0.16
A1T (ms)	0.04	0.926	0.928 (0.869, 0.963)	0.13	0.35
A1V (m/s)	0.13	0.818	0.801 (0.637, 0.899)	0.02	0.05
A2T (ms)	0.01	0.854	0.853 (0.732, 0.925)	0.22	0.62
A2V (m/s)	-0.17	0.878	0.882 (0.784, 0.940)	0.03	0.09
HCT (ms)	0.02	0.221	0.232 (-0.402, 0.608)	0.53	1.46
PD (mm)	0.05	0.896	0.899 (0.815, 0.948)	0.13	0.37
Radius (mm)	0.14	0.892	0.878 (0.777, 0.938)	0.41	1.14
A1DA (mm)	0.10	0.726	0.710 (0.471, 0.852)	0.01	0.03
HCDA (mm)	0.09	0.921	0.918 (0.850, 0.958)	0.06	0.16
A2DA (mm)	0.19	0.864	0.863 (0.750, 0.930)	0.05	0.14
A1DLL (mm)	0.12	0.490	0.504 (0.086, 0.750)	0.28	0.78
HCDLL (mm)	0.19	0.806	0.790 (0.612, 0.894)	0.82	2.26
A2DLL (mm)	0.20	0.521	0.512 (0.100, 0.754)	0.84	2.34
A1DLA (mm)	0.13	0.748	0.721 (0.491, 0.858)	0.01	0.04
HCDLA (mm)	0.12	0.913	0.912 (0.839, 0.955)	0.06	0.18
A2DLA (mm)	0.19	0.791	0.797 (0.630, 0.896)	0.02	0.05
DLAML (mm)	0.11	0.871	0.868 (0.759, 0.933)	0.08	0.21
DLAMT (ms)	0.02	-0.263	-0.254 (-1.288 ,0.36)	0.77	2.14
WEMA (mm)	0.25	0.875	0.873 (0.768, 0.935)	0.05	0.13
WEMT (ms)	0.05	0.832	0.826 (0.683, 0.911)	0.82	2.28
A1DLAr (mm ²)	0.19	0.623	0.604 (0.277, 0.798)	0.04	0.12
HCDLAr (mm ²)	0.16	0.912	0.911 (0.838, 0.955)	0.29	0.80
A2DLAr (mm ²)	0.27	0.651	0.660 (0.379, 0.826)	0.07	0.19
A1dArcL (mm)	-0.27	0.784	0.766 (0.573, 0.881)	0.00	0.01
HCdArcL (mm)	-0.40	0.478	0.432 (-0.036, 0.710)	0.05	0.13
A2dArcL (mm)	-0.42	0.709	0.707 (0.466, 0.851)	0.01	0.03
dArcLM (mm)	-0.43	0.842	0.841 (0.710, 0.919)	0.04	0.11
Max Inverse Radius (mm ⁻¹)	0.20	0.809	0.790 (0.618, 0.893)	0.04	0.11
DA Ratio Max (2 mm)	0.17	0.688	0.681 (0.418, 0.837)	1.14	3.15
Pachy Slope (µm)	0.27	0.916	0.918 (0.850, 0.958)	11.18	30.96
DA Ratio Max (1 mm)	0.04	0.439	0.421 (-0.056, 0.705)	0.10	0.27
ARTh	0.30	0.936	0.937 (0.885, 0.968)	23.86	66.08
bIOP (mm Hg)	0.13	0.861	0.865 (0.753, 0.931)	1.23	3.41
Integrated radius (mm ⁻¹)	0.15	0.853	0.849 (0.725, 0.923)	1.36	3.76
SPA1	0.26	0.922	0.915 (0.844, 0.956)	8.25	22.85
CBI	0.01	0.547	0.542 (0.165, 0.766)	0.01	0.03

IOP: Intraocular pressure; CCT: Central corneal thickness; DA: Deformation amplitude; A1T: The first applanation time; A1V: The first applanation velocity; A2T: The second applanation time; A2V: The second applanation velocity; HCT: The maximum deformation time; PD: Peak distance; DLL: Deflection length; DLA: Deflection amplitude; DLAML: Max length at deflection amplitude; DLAMT: Max time at deflection amplitude; WEMA: Max amplitude of whole eye movement; WEMT: Max time of whole eye movement; DLAr: Deflection area; dArcL: Delta arc length; dArcLM: Max delta arc length; DA ratio: Deformation amplitude ratio; ARTh: Ambrósio's relational thickness horizontal; bIOP: Biomechanical corrected intraocular pressure; SP A1: Stiffness parameter at the first applanation; CBI: Corvis biomechanical index; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range; CV: Coefficient of variation; ICC (95%CI): Interclass correlation coefficient (95%CI); Sw, Within-subject standard deviation; RC: Repeatability coefficient.

Table 3 Repeatabili	y of Corvis ST	parameters at 1m	o postoperativel	y
----------------------------	----------------	------------------	------------------	---

Table 3 Repeatability of Corvis ST parameters at 1mo postoperatively					
Parameters	CV (%)	Cronbach' α	ICC (95%CI)	S_w	RC
IOP (mm Hg)	0.17	0.945	0.946 (0.902 ,0.973)	0.94	2.62
CCT (µm)	0.06	0.977	0.977 (0.958, 0.988)	6.78	18.78
DA Max (mm)	0.09	0.922	0.924 (0.862, 0.961)	0.05	0.14
A1T (ms)	0.04	0.947	0.948 (0.905, 0.974)	0.11	0.32
A1V (m/s)	0.16	0.915	0.916 (0.846, 0.957)	0.01	0.04
A2T (ms)	0.02	0.914	0.912 (0.840, 0.955)	0.20	0.54
A2V (m/s)	-0.15	0.869	0.873 (0.769, 0.935)	0.03	0.08
HCT (ms)	0.03	0.662	0.657 (0.373, 0.825)	0.45	1.23
PD (mm)	0.04	0.885	0.889 (0.797, 0.943)	0.13	0.35
Radius (mm)	0.14	0.911	0.911 (0.837, 0.954)	0.36	1.00
A1DA (mm)	0.12	0.813	0.819 (0.669, 0.907)	0.01	0.04
HCDA (mm)	0.09	0.922	0.924 (0.862, 0.961)	0.05	0.14
A2DA (mm)	0.17	0.861	0.862 (0.749, 0.930)	0.05	0.13
A1DLL (mm)	0.08	0.794	0.799 (0.634, 0.898)	0.14	0.39
HCDLL (mm)	0.09	0.550	0.559 (0.196, 0.775)	0.60	1.65
A2DLL (mm)	0.21	0.633	0.645 (0.352, 0.819)	0.68	1.90
A1DLA (mm)	0.15	0.915	0.917 (0.849, 0.958)	0.01	0.02
HCDLA (mm)	0.11	0.876	0.876 (0.774, 0.937)	0.07	0.20
A2DLA (mm)	0.18	0.922	0.921 (0.856, 0.960)	0.01	0.03
DLAML (mm)	0.10	0.821	0.822 (0.674, 0.909)	0.08	0.22
DLAM (ms)	0.03	0.451	0.457 (0.009, 0.723)	0.72	2.00
WEMA (mm)	0.20	0.783	0.788 (0.612, 0.892)	0.05	0.14
WEMT (ms)	0.05	0.787	0.794 (0.624, 0.895)	0.80	2.22
A1DLAr (mm ²)	0.19	0.859	0.86 (0.745, 0.929)	0.03	0.07
HCDLA r(mm ²)	0.16	0.810	0.809 (0.651, 0.902)	0.41	1.12
A2DLAr (mm ²)	0.25	0.870	0.867 (0.758, 0.932)	0.04	0.12
AldArcL (mm)	-0.27	0.913	0.916 (0.846, 0.957)	0.00	0.01
HCdArcL (mm)	-0.42	0.826	0.827 (0.685, 0.912)	0.03	0.08
A2dArcL (mm)	-0.34	0.811	0.811 (0.655, 0.904)	0.01	0.02
dArcLM (mm)	-0.22	0.841	0.838 (0.705, 0.918)	0.02	0.06
Max Inverse Radius (mm ⁻¹)	0.16	0.788	0.794 (0.625, 0.895)	0.03	0.09
DA Ratio Max (2 mm)	0.17	0.888	0.891 (0.802, 0.944)	0.61	1.70
Pachy Slope (µm)	0.30	0.956	0.956 (0.920, 0.978)	8.78	24.33
DA Ratio Max (1 mm)	0.04	0.838	0.839 (0.707, 0.918)	0.05	0.14
ARTh	0.32	0.962	0.963 (0.933, 0.981)	19.29	53.44
bIOP (mm Hg)	0.14	0.941	0.942 (0.894, 0.970)	0.93	2.57
Integrated Radius (mm ⁻¹)	0.14	0.921	0.921 (0.856, 0.960)	0.85	2.35
SP A1	0.25	0.958	0.959 (0.925, 0.979)	5.97	16.53
CBI	0.08	0.700	0.708 (0.468, 0.851)	0.01	0.04

IOP: Intraocular pressure; CCT: Central corneal thickness; DA: Deformation amplitude; A1T: The first applanation time; A1V: The first applanation velocity; A2T: The second applanation time; A2V: The second applanation velocity; HCT: The maximum deformation time; PD: Peak distance; DLL: Deflection length; DLA: Deflection amplitude; DLAML: Max length at deflection amplitude; DLAMT: Max time at deflection amplitude; WEMA: Max amplitude of whole eye movement; WEMT: Max time of whole eye movement; DLAr: Deflection area; dArcL: Delta arc length; dArcLM: Max delta arc length; DA ratio: Deformation amplitude ratio; SP A1, stiffness parameter at the first applanation; CBI, Corvis biomechanical index; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; CV: Coefficient of variation; ICC (95%CI): Interclass correlation coefficient (95% confidence interval); S w: Within-subject standard deviation; RC: Repeatability coefficient.

Int Eye Res, Vol. 2, No. 4, Dec.28, 2021 www.ijo.cn Tel: 8629-82245172 8629-82210956 Email: ijopress@163.com

Table 4 Comparison of Corvis ST measurements	between preoperative and 1mo postoperative
--	--

Parameters	Preoperative	Postoperative	t/Z	Р
IOP (mm Hg)	12.87±2.14	14.08±2.35	-3.617	0.001
CCT (µm)	473.17±27.28	468.27±25.85	2.020	0.053
DA Max (mm)	1.23±0.12	1.20±0.10	1.681	0.103
A1T (ms)	6.90±0.27	7.01±0.29	-2.786	0.009
A1V (m/s)	$0.17{\pm}0.02$	$0.17{\pm}0.03$	1.177	0.249
A2T (ms)	22.34±0.34	22.16±0.38	3.023	0.005
A2V (m/s)	-0.31 ± 0.05	-0.30 ± 0.04	-1.233	0.227
HCT (ms), median (IQR)	17.33 (17.09, 17.61)	17.33 (17.07, 17.67)	-0.304	0.761
PD (mm)	4.98±0.24	4.92±0.22	1.770	0.087
Radius (mm)	$4.84{\pm}0.68$	$5.03{\pm}0.70$	-2.527	0.017
A1DA (mm)	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	0.15±0.02	-2.557	0.016
HCDA (mm)	1.23±0.12	1.20±0.10	1.681	0.103
A2DA (mm)	$0.42{\pm}0.08$	$0.43{\pm}0.07$	-1.067	0.295
A1DLL (mm)	$2.28{\pm}0.27$	2.28±0.18	-0.107	0.916
HCDLL (mm), median (IQR)	5.93(4.90,6.26)	6.14 (5.58, 6.33)	-2.787	0.005
A2DLL (mm), median (IQR)	3.44(2.66,3.71)	2.98 (2.62, 3.67)	-0.771	0.441
A1DLA (mm), median (IQR)	0.11 (0.10, 0.12)	0.11 (0.10, 0.12)	-0.555	0.579
HCDLA (mm)	$1.04{\pm}0.12$	1.01 ± 0.12	1.220	0.232
A2DLA (mm)	0.12 ± 0.02	$0.12{\pm}0.02$	-0.954	0.348
DLAML (mm)	1.06±0.12	$1.03{\pm}0.11$	1.800	0.082
DLAMT (ms)	16.34±0.40	16.28±0.56	0.563	0.578
WEMA (mm)	0.31 ± 0.08	$0.32{\pm}0.06$	-1.093	0.283
WEMT (ms)	22.25±1.14	22.24±1.02	0.106	0.916
A1DLAr (mm ²)	$0.20{\pm}0.04$	$0.20{\pm}0.04$	-0.474	0.639
HCDLAr (mm ²)	3.48±0.56	3.39±0.54	0.876	0.388
A2DLAr (mm ²)	0.25 ± 0.07	$0.27{\pm}0.07$	-1.278	0.211
AldArcL (mm)	-0.02 ± 0.01	-0.02 ± 0.01	0.778	0.443
HCdArcL (mm), median (IQR)	-0.09 (-0.12, -0.07)	-0.10 (-0.12, -0.08)	-1.306	0.191
A2dArcL (mm)	-0.02 ± 0.01	-0.03 ± 0.01	1.613	0.118
dArcLM (mm), median (IQR)	-0.12 (-0.14, -0.1)	-0.13 (-0.14, -0.11)	-1.173	0.241
Max Inverse Radius (mm ⁻¹)	0.26±0.05	0.25±0.04	1.983	0.057
DA Ratio Max (2 mm), median (IQR)	6.62 (5.76, 7.71)	6.23 (5.70, 7.20)	-2.149	0.032
Pachy Slope (µm)	82.65±22.47	81.50±24.26	0.565	0.577
DA Ratio Max (1 mm)	$1.78{\pm}0.07$	$1.76{\pm}0.07$	1.326	0.195
ARTh	$180.94{\pm}54.81$	181.92±58.29	-0.183	0.856
bIOP (mm Hg)	14.61±1.93	15.99±2.22	-4.214	0.001
Integrated Radius (mm ⁻¹)	13.49±2.02	12.62±1.74	3.707	0.001
SP A1, median (IQR)	61.29 (47.73,76.17)	66.13 (55.06,76.92)	-2.972	0.003
CBI, median (IQR)	1.00 (1.00,1.00)	1.00 (1.00,1.00)	-1.503	0.133

IOP: Intraocular pressure; CCT: Central corneal thickness; DA: Deformation amplitude; A1T: The first applanation time; A1V: The first applanation velocity; A2T: The second applanation time; A2V: The second applanation velocity; HCT: The maximum deformation time; PD: Peak distance; DLL: Deflection length; DLA: Deflection amplitude; DLAML: Max length at deflection amplitude; DLAMT: Max time at deflection amplitude; WEMA: Max amplitude of whole eye movement; WEMT: Max time of whole eye movement; DLAr: Deflection area; dArcL: Delta arc length; dArcLM: Max delta arc length; DA ratio: Deformation amplitude ratio; ARTh: Ambrósio's relational thickness horizontal; bIOP: Biomechanical corrected intraocular pressure; SPA1: Stiffness parameter at the first applanation; CBI: Corvis biomechanical index; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range.

eyes. The current study found 26 parameters showed good to excellent repeatability, which was similarly to previous finding that 28 parameters showed good to excellent repeatability^[17]. The discrepancy of ICC values in different studies might be attributed to the sample size, and a multi-center study should be conducted in future. After ATE-CXL, the ICC values of PD, HCDLL, HCDLA, DLAML, WEMA, WEMT, HCDLAr and dArcLM after ATE-CXL were lower than that preoperatively, while other parameters were higher. In addition, we found the repeatability of Corvis ST parameters after ATE-CXL have a larger percentage of good than that at preoperative, which indicated the measurements of Corvis ST after ATE-CXL were also reliable.

Our study indicated the Ks, Kf and Kmean values were decreased after ATE-CXL in 30 keratoconus eyes, which was partly consistent with other studies. Tian *et al*^[10] reported that ATE-CXL was a safe and effective treatment in children with progressive keratoconus. Aixinjueluo et al^[27] found the keratometry was decreased in 12mo follow-up, and no complications of ATE-CXL in 30 keratoconus eyes were investigated. Huang *et al*^[28] demonstrated that the 2ytopographic outcomes of ATE-CXL remained unchanged and the densitometry values of the full corneal thickness may decrease to normal levels at 2y after ATE-CXL. Besides the changes of keratometry, several studies have reported the changes of BCVA and corneal thickness, which were not statistically different from our study. A study included 26 keratoconus eyes indicated the BCVA and Kmax were improved at 12mo after ATE-CXL^[29]. Huang et al^[28] reported that the corneal thickness values decreased slightly at 12mo but increased at 24mo postoperatively when comparing to preoperative status. The BCVA of 18 keratoconus eyes improved from 0.64 preoperatively to 0.69 at 1y after ATE-CXL, while the BCVA increment and the corneal thickness decrement were not statistically at 1-month follow up^[10]. Koh et $al^{[30]}$ reported that both the uncorrected and corrected distance visual acuity enhanced in moderate keratoconus through 1y of CXL follow-up. The inconsistent results of topographic parameters and BCVA might be related to the discrepancy of sample size and follow up time among different studies. Thus, the long term efficacy of ATE-CXL would be continued to study in future.

The current study found the parameters of IOP, bIOP, A1T, Radius, A1DA, HCDLL and SP A1 increased, while A2T, DA Ratio Max (2 mm), and integrated radius decreased after 1mo ATE-CXL. The changes of IOP, bIOP, SP A1, Radius and Integrated radius after ATE-CXL were consistent with that after CXL or epithelium off CXL procedure. Several studies evaluated the changes of Corvis ST parameters at different follow-up visits after CXL^[12,31]. Bak-Nielsen *et al*^[32] recruited

196

27 keratoconus eyes and found HCT, A2T and DA parameters were significantly changed at 97d after CXL. Steinberg et al^[33] demonstrated the IOP increased 3 mm Hg, CCT decreased 35 µm, A1T increased 0.12ms, and A2T decreased 0.37 ms at 3mo after CXL. However, the changes of these parameters did not show statistically differences at 6-month follow-up visit after CXL. Also, they further found the PD decreased 0.5 mm while Radius increased 0.5 mm in 24 keratoconus eves^[34]. Tomita et al^[35] included 48 eyes with keratoconus and found PD was significantly increased while no significant changes of DA and Radius were found at 1y after CXL. Vinciguerra *et al*^[14] conducted a prospective study of 34 eyes and found the corneal stiffness was improved following CXL 1mo regarding to the SP A1 increased, inverse concave integrated radius and DA ratio decreased. In their other study which included 66 eyes, the increment of corneal stiffness was verified again at the same follow up^[31]. The increments of IOP and bIOP could due to the corneal stiffness increment, which was verified in other study^[36]. The SP A1 value reflects the corneal resistance to deformity and a high value stands for a stiffer biomechanically cornea^[15,37-38]. Integrated radius is a stiffness parameter that calculated by the integrated area under the curve of the inverse radius of curvature at the highest concavity, and the change after CXL might be related to the Kmean in a 5 mm zone of the steepest point^[15]. The low value of the integrated radius and high value of radius are associated with a stiffer cornea^[37]. The A1DA stands for deformation amplitude at the first applanation, and HCDLL means deflection length at the maximum deformation. The changes of A1DA and HCDLL after CXL were limited, and further study should be conducted to certify the changes in later.

Our study found the corneal stiffness was improved at 1mo post-ATE-CXL, and the results provide some references in evaluating the effect of CXL on biomechanical parameters. However, there were several limitations. Firstly, the current study recruited 30 keratoconus patients, which is relatively small, and might decrease the statistical power. Further multicenter and large sample study will be conducted in a later study. Secondly, our study mainly evaluated the corneal biomechanical changes within a relatively short postoperative period of 1mo. The long term efficacy of the ATE-CXL would continue to be observed in later research.

In conclusion, the study indicated that the repeatability of the Corvis ST parameters before and after ATE-CXL was both acceptable. In addition, the corneal stiffness was improved 1mo after ATE-CXL. However, multi-center study and long term effects of ATE-CXL needs to be conducted in future^[39].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foundations: Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (No.182300410362); Shandong Key

Laboratory of Ophthalmology Open Subject Independent Project (No.2018-04).

Conflicts of Interest: Yang KL, None; Gu YW, None; Fan Q, None; Xu LY, None; Pang CJ, None; Ren SW, None.

Peer Review File Available at: http://ier.ijo.cn/gjykier/ch/ reader/download_attache_file.aspx?seq_id=202112271151060 01&flag=1&journal_id=gjykier&year_id=2021&issue=4

REFERENCES

- 1 Galvis V, Sherwin T, Tello A, Merayo J, Barrera R, Acera A. Keratoconus: an inflammatory disorder? *Eye (Lond)* 2015;29(7):843-859.
- 2 Mas Tur V, MacGregor C, Jayaswal R, O'Brart D, Maycock N. A review of keratoconus: Diagnosis, pathophysiology, and genetics. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2017;62(6):770-783.
- 3 Gomes JA, Tan D, Rapuano CJ, Belin MW, Ambrósio R Jr, Guell JL, Malecaze F, Nishida K, Sangwan VS. Global consensus on keratoconus and ectatic diseases. *Cornea* 2015;34(4):359-369.
- 4 Raiskup F, Theuring A, Pillunat LE, Spoerl E. Corneal collagen crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet-A light in progressive keratoconus: ten-year results. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2015;41(1):41-46.
- 5 Vastardis I, Pajic-Eggspuehler B, Nichorlis C, Mueller J, Pajic B. Recent innovations in collagen corneal cross-linking; a mini review. Open Ophthalmol J 2017;11:217-224.
- 6 Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Stress-strain measurements of human and porcine corneas after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A-induced crosslinking. J Cataract Refract Surg 2003;29(9):1780-1785.
- 7 Ostadian F, Panahi-Bazaz MR, Moosazadeh SM, Hesam S. Accelerated versus conventional corneal collagen cross-linking for progressive keratoconus. *Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol* 2017;6(4):110-117.
- 8 Wen DZ, Li Q, Song BH, Tu RX, Wang QM, O'Brart DPS, McAlinden C, Huang JH. Comparison of standard versus accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus: a Meta-analysis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2018;59(10):3920-3931.
- 9 Nath S, Shen C, Koziarz A, Banfield L. Protocol for a systematic review, meta-analysis, and trial sequential analysis of clinical outcomes following accelerated versus conventional corneal collagen crosslinking for corneal ectasia. *Syst Rev* 2019;8(1):85.
- 10 Tian M, Jian WJ, Sun L, Shen Y, Zhang XY, Zhou XT. One-year follow-up of accelerated transepithelial corneal collagen cross-linking for progressive pediatric keratoconus. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2018;18(1):75.
- 11 Wang J, Li ZW, Zhang HK, Gao N, Mu GY. Whether keratectasia area shown in corneal topography is appropriate for evaluating the effect of corneal cross-linking for keratoconus: a 12-month follow-up study. *Biomed Res Int* 2019;2019:1762537.
- 12 Ali NQ, Patel DV, McGhee CN. Biomechanical responses of healthy and keratoconic corneas measured using a noncontact scheimpflugbased tonometer. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55(6):3651-3659.
- 13 Salouti R, Alishiri AA, Gharebaghi R, Naderi M, Jadidi K, Shojaei-Baghini A, Talebnejad M, Nasiri Z, Hosseini S, Heidary F. Comparison

among Ocular Response Analyzer, Corvis ST and Goldmann applanation tonometry in healthy children. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2018;11(8):1330-1336.

- 14 Vinciguerra R, Romano V, Arbabi EM, Brunner M, Willoughby CE, Batterbury M, Kaye SB. *In vivo* early corneal biomechanical changes after corneal cross-linking in patients with progressive keratoconus. *J Refract Surg* 2017;33(12):840-846.
- 15 Sedaghat MR, Momeni-Moghaddam H, Ambrósio R Jr, Roberts CJ, Yekta AA, Danesh Z, Reisdorf S, Khabazkhoob M, Heidari HR, Sadeghi J. Long-term evaluation of corneal biomechanical properties after corneal cross-linking for keratoconus: a 4-year longitudinal study. *J Refract Surg* 2018;34(12):849-856.
- 16 Lopes BT, Roberts CJ, Elsheikh A, Vinciguerra R, Vinciguerra P, Reisdorf S, Berger S, Koprowski R, Ambrósio R Jr. Repeatability and reproducibility of intraocular pressure and dynamic corneal response parameters assessed by the corvis ST. J Ophthalmol 2017;2017:8515742.
- 17 Yang KL, Xu LY, Fan Q, Zhao DQ, Ren SW. Repeatability and comparison of new Corvis ST parameters in normal and keratoconus eyes. *Sci Rep* 2019;9(1):15379.
- 18 Vinciguerra R, Ambrósio R Jr, Elsheikh A, Roberts CJ, Lopes B, Morenghi E, Azzolini C, Vinciguerra P. Detection of keratoconus with a new biomechanical index. *J Refract Surg* 2016;32(12):803-810.
- 19 Askarizadeh F, Sedaghat MR, Ostadi-Moghaddam H, Narooie-Noori F, Rakhshandadi T, Rajabi S. A contralateral eye study comparing corneal biomechanics in subjects with bilateral keratoconus with unilateral vogt's striae. *Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol* 2017;6(2):49-55.
- 20 Chen XJ, Stojanovic A, Hua YJ, Eidet JR, Hu D, Wang JT, Utheim TP. Reliability of corneal dynamic scheimpflug analyser measurements in virgin and post-PRK eyes. *PLoS One* 2014;9(10):e109577.
- 21 Hua YJ, Qiu W, Xiao QY, Wu Q. Precision (repeatability and reproducibility) of ocular parameters obtained by the Tomey OA-2000 biometer compared to the IOLMaster in healthy eyes. *PLoS One* 2018;13(2):e0193023.
- 22 Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull* 1979;86(2):420-428.
- 23. Bland JM, Altman DG. Measurement error. BMJ 1996;313(7059):744.
- 24 Moshirfar M, Motlagh MN, Murri MS, Momeni-Moghaddam H, Ronquillo YC, Hoopes PC. Advances in biomechanical parameters for screening of refractive surgery candidates: a review of the literature, part III. *Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol* 2019;8(3):219-240.
- 25 Sedaghat MR, Askarizadeh F, Nematy M, Narooie-Noori F, Heravian J, Rakhshandadi T, Rajabi S. The relationship of body mass index and blood pressure with corneal biomechanical parameters in healthy subjects. *Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Ophthalmol* 2017;6(3):89-97.
- 26 Ye C, Yu M, Lai G, Jhanji V. Variability of corneal deformation response in normal and keratoconic eyes. *Optom Vis Sci* 2015;92(7):e149-e153.
- 27 Aixinjueluo W, Usui T, Miyai T, Toyono T, Sakisaka T, YamagamiS. Accelerated transpithelial corneal cross-linking for progressive

keratoconus: a prospective study of 12 months. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2017;101(9):1244-1249.

- 28 Huang JR, Shen Y, Jian WJ, Xu HP, Li MY, Zhao J, Zhou XT, Liao HF. Two-year topographic and densitometric outcomes of accelerated (45 mW/cm²) transepithelial corneal cross-linking for keratoconus: a case-control study. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2018;18(1):337.
- 29 Kır MB, Türkyılmaz K, Öner V. Transepithelial high-intensity crosslinking for the treatment of progressive keratoconus: 2-year outcomes. *Curr Eye Res* 2017;42(1):28-31.
- 30 Koh IH, Seo KY, Park SB, Yang H, Kim I, Kim JS, Hwang DG, Nam SM. One-year efficacy and safety of combined photorefractive keratectomy and accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking after intacs SK intracorneal ring segment implantation in moderate keratoconus. *Biomed Res Int* 2019;2019:7850216.
- 31 Vinciguerra R, Tzamalis A, Romano V, Arbabi EM, Batterbury M, Kaye SB. Assessment of the association between *in vivo* corneal biomechanical changes after corneal cross-linking and depth of demarcation line. *J Refract Surg* 2019;35(3):202-206.
- 32 Bak-Nielsen S, Pedersen IB, Ivarsen A, Hjortdal J. Dynamic Scheimpflug-based assessment of keratoconus and the effects of corneal cross-linking. *J Refract Surg* 2014;30(6):408-414.
- 33 Steinberg J, Katz T, Mousli A, Frings A, Casagrande MK, Druchkiv V, Richard G, Linke SJ. Corneal biomechanical changes after crosslinking for progressive keratoconus with the corneal visualization scheimpflug technology. *J Ophthalmol* 2014;2014:579190.

- 34 Steinberg J, Frings A, Mousli A, Casagrande MK, Druchkiv V, Katz T, Linke SJ. New scheimpflug dynamic in vivo curve analyses to characterize biomechanical changes of the cornea after cross-linking for progressive keratoconus. *J Refract Surg* 2016;32(1):34-39.
- 35 Tomita M, Mita M, Huseynova T. Accelerated versus conventional corneal collagen crosslinking. J Cataract Refract Surg 2014;40(6):1013-1020.
- 36 Badri S, Mchachi A, Alnadhari M, Benhmidoune L, Chakib A, Rachid R, Elbelhadji M, Amraoui A. The effects of corneal cross-linking on intraocular pressure measurement in keratoconus. *J Fr Ophtalmol* 2019;42(2):166-169.
- 37 Fernández J, Rodríguez-Vallejo M, Martínez J, Tauste A, Salvestrini P, Piñero DP. New parameters for evaluating corneal biomechanics and intraocular pressure after small-incision lenticule extraction by Scheimpflug-based dynamic tonometry. J Cataract Refract Surg 2017;43(6):803-811.
- 38 Roberts CJ, Mahmoud AM, Bons JP, Hossain A, Elsheikh A, Vinciguerra R, Vinciguerra P, Ambrósio R Jr. Introduction of two novel stiffness parameters and interpretation of air puff-induced biomechanical deformation parameters with a dynamic scheimpflug analyzer. *J Refract Surg* 2017;33(4):266-273.
- 39 Yang KL, Gu YW, Fan Q, Xu LY, Pang CJ, Ren SW. Repeatability and comparison of Corvis ST parameters before and after accelerated transepithelial corneal cross-linking in keratoconus eyes. *Guoji Yanke Zazhi (Int Eye Sci)* 2021;21(1):1-8.