Scleral buckling with chandelier illumination system in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment surgery: a large case series
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Abstract

● AIM: To evaluate advantages of chandelier illumination system during scleral buckling (SB) procedures for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) surgery.
● METHODS: In this comparative, retrospective study, 72 eyes of 72 patients undergoing SB for RRD at Minerva Hospital (Santa Maria Capua Vetere, Italy), divided in 2 groups of 36 eyes each were collected. In one group (A) eyes underwent SB with chandelier, in the other one (B) eyes underwent SB without using chandelier illumination system. Every eye of both groups was checked before surgery and at 1, 3, 7, 14, 30, 90 and 180d follow up. Parameters such as additional procedures, best spectacles visual acuity (BSVA; measured with Snellen Lines as decimal fraction), surgical time and retinal breaks recurrences had been analyzed before and after surgery and statistical comparison have been run between two groups.
● RESULTS: A significant ($P<0.01$) improvement in BSVA was observed at 6mo follow up in both groups; comparing groups A and B, no significant clinical differences was found in recurrences rate whereas mean surgical time in group A was statistically lower than the group B ones.
● CONCLUSION: According to results observed, even if they need to be confirmed in further studies with larger population, chandelier illumination system could be considered a valuable tool for SB surgery in eyes affected by RRD.
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INTRODUCTION

Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is a very important cause of not reversible visual impairment, surgery is mandatory to prevent permanent visual loss[1-5]. Current surgical techniques include two main ones: scleral buckling (SB) and pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), moreover it is possible to use different optional procedures such as cryo-retinopexy or retinal laser photocoagulation[1-9]. Supremacy of one of the two techniques has not be proven but, in the last years, a strong trend in choosing PPV over SD for primary RRD surgery technique has been observed[4-13]. Main reasons of this choice have been advances in the instrumentations and safety of PPV along with modern microscopes and wide-angle viewing systems that have enabled better intraoperative visualization of retinal breaks[14]. These technical improvements allowed the surgeons to accurately close all the retinal breaks and to manage detached retina in a safer, easier and faster way[14]. Moreover, transconjunctival, suture-less vitrectomy techniques provide faster wound healing, reduced conjunctival scarring, improved patient comfort, decreased postoperative inflammation and induced less postoperative astigmatic changes[1-5].

On the other hand, the SB technique retains some advantages (it is an extraocular procedure and has lower cost for single surgery)[1-3] and remains fundamental for the complete formation of the vitreo-retinal surgeons[15]. For this reason, several modifications to the basic technique have been suggested, in particular to improve the retinal lesions visualization[16-20]. The SB technique with chandelier has been described in only few published papers[16-17,20], purpose of this
study is to evaluate this new device in SB surgery for RRD in a large case series cases comparing this technique with standard scleral buckling one.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval The study and data collection were carried out with approval from the appropriate Institutional Review Board (IRB) from Casa di Cura Minerva, (Santa Maria Capua Vetere, CE, Italy), informed consent for the surgical procedure and for the research was obtained from the patients, the study was in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

In this retrospective study, two groups of eyes with primary RRD requiring SB surgery without vitrectomy were selected. In group A 36 eyes of 36 patients underwent SB with chandelier illumination system and in group B 36 eyes 36 patients underwent standard SB surgery. The demographics and clinical details of eyes included in this study cohort are shown in Table 1.

Patients with significant media opacities such as vitreous hemorrhage or significant cataract and any coexisting ocular pathology such as glaucoma and uveitis were excluded from this study.

Before surgery every patient underwent a complete preoperative assessment including best corrected visual acuity (BCVA; measured as Snellen lines), slit-lamp examination, including assessment of the anterior segment, intraocular pressure measurement. Moreover, fundus evaluation with slit-lamp biomicroscopy and indirect opthalmoscopy was performed in order to evaluate RD details such as presence of any pathologic features in the peripheral retina, PVR presence and to accurately localize and describe all details of retinal breaks detected.

Primary outcome was considered the successful retinal reattachment; secondary outcomes were considered the visual acuity improvements, surgery time and avoiding other complications.

All SB procedures were performed by 2 senior vitreoretinal surgeons (Piccirillo V and Irregolare C) using both techniques. In both groups all patients were operated under peribulbar anesthesia (0.8 mL of 10% ropivacaine). SB surgery with chandelier illumination system performed in group A eyes as follow: after a 360-degree limbal peritomy, traction sutures were passed under the rectus muscles, indeed 2.5 mm white encircling band (MIRA 240, Inc., Uxbridge, MA, USA) was fixed at 13.5 mm from limbus using a non absorbable suture (5-0 Mersilene, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA; Figure 1A). Sclerotomy for chandelier illumination was created with a 25 G semi-valved trocar (25 G trocar Synergetics, Bausch+Lomb Retina St. Louis, USA) at 3.5 and 4 mm posterior to the limbus for pseudophakic and phakic patients, respectively, in lower-temporal quadrant (Figure 1B). The 25 G optic fiber was connected to the light source (halogen lamp) of the device (Assistant, Optikon, Rome, Italy). Initially, the trocar blade was inserted obliquely into the sclera at an angle of about 30 to 45 degrees up to the cannula mark. Then, the direction of the blade was adjusted perpendicular to the sclera as it has been inserted into the vitreous cavity (Figure 1C). Once the chandelier was inserted, the wide-field non contact direct viewing system (Eibos, Moller Wedel, Koeniz, Switzerland) was used for fundus evaluation thought viscoelastic interface (Figure 1D). A detailed assessment of the fundus was done using indentation and the retina breaks were localized. External drainage of sub-retinal fluid as well as placement of silicone tires or sponges were performed as needed. Intraoperative crio-retinopexy and retinal tamponade with injection of air or an expandable gas were additional procedures, performed at the surgeon’s discretion. Gas tamponade was performed always with 20% diluted sulfur hexafluoride (Easygas SF6-Fluoron, Geuder, Heidelberg, Germany). At this stage, the fundus was checked to confirm retinal flattening along with the desired indentation effect (Figure 1E). The chandelier light was removed together with trochar and the sclerotomy was sutured (8-0 Vycril Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) as needed. A drop of povidone-iodine has been then instilled followed by conjunctival closure with 8-0 suture and subconjunctival antibiotic injection (0.2 mL of 0.3% Gentamicin). Standard SB surgery was performed as previously described, without using additional illumination tools.[15]

Postoperatively, all patients routinely used chloramphenicol 0.5% and betamethasone 0.2% fixed combination eye drops 4 times daily, tropicamide 1% 2 times daily for 2-4wk and 500 mg cefaclor oral suspension. Routine follow up were at 1, 3, 7, 14, 30, 90 and 180d. The groups A and B were compared with one-way factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each parameter. Moreover intra-group time variation of parameters was evaluated with Student’s t-test for repeated measures. For all tests the level of significance was set at P<0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS software (IBM Corp. Armonk, New York) version 18.0.

RESULTS

Primary and secondary outcomes of the eyes of both groups are described in Table 2. The vast majority of eyes underwent drainage of sub-retinal fluid (89% in group A and 92% in
group B); gas tamponade was performed in 19 eyes (53%) in group A and in 22 eyes (61%) in group B. Additional cryotherapy to seal retinal breaks was administrated in 2 cases both groups. No eyes with pre-existing cataract had significant progression of the lens opacities. In group A, mean visual acuity before surgery was 0.12±0.11 Snellen lines (decimal fraction) ranging from 0.008 to 0.4 Snellen Lines; at 6mo follow up mean visual acuity was 0.39±0.25 Snellen Lines, ranging from 0.017 to 0.8 Snellen Lines. A significant ($P<0.001$) visual acuity improvement has been observed. In group B, mean visual acuity before surgery was 0.10±0.09 Snellen lines (decimal fraction) ranging from 0.008 to 0.3 Snellen Lines; at 6mo follow up mean visual acuity was 0.34±0.35 Snellen Lines, ranging from 0.017 to 0.6 Snellen Lines. No significant difference in visual acuity was observed before surgery ($P=0.16$) between the two groups. A significant ($P<0.001$) visual acuity improvement after surgery has been observed in both groups. At 6-month follow up, no endophthalmitis have been observed. Patients with recurrence of retinal detachment underwent via pars plana vitrectomy; the visual acuity included in the statistical analysis was the last measured before the recurrences. No other kind of complications have been observed in eyes included in the study.

**DISCUSSION**

For many years, improvements purposed in retinal surgery have been focused only to PPV and they have been so many and so important, to make this procedure the first choice among the retinal surgical ones[4-13]. Recently it is possible to find some variations interesting SB technique too, in order to improve this very important procedure[16-20]. This study is presenting the larger series of SB using a chandelier illumination system.

Nawrocki et al[17] described the results of a new optical device in enlarging the surgeon visual field during SB surgery in 7 eyes affected by RRD, observing best results in aphakic eyes. Aras et al[18] and Gogia et al[19] both evaluated a combination of a 25-gauge illumination fiber inserted into the sclera at the pars plana with wide-angle viewing system in 16 eyes[19] and in 25 eyes[18] undergoing SB for RRD. They reported good results also if it is important to remind that when retinal periphery is imagined using non-contact based systems it is possible to observe image distortion that could interfere with a good surgical procedure.
Chandelier assisted scleral buckling surgery

Napgal et al[20] firstly describe the chandelier illumination system for SB surgery with very good results in 10 eyes. Narayanan et al[15] firstly compare traditional SB surgery with SB with chandelier in 14 eyes affected by RRD, finding that the procedures with the new illumination device lasted less minutes and were more useful for teaching. In this study mean surgery time in group A (Table 2) was not so different by the one reported by Narayanan et al[15], so it is lower of the standard SB surgery time recorded in group B and reported previously[4-5,15]. Time saving could be due to the easier retinal breaks localization and visualization, moreover, this illumination system allow to better control in every surgical steps because it provide a great improvement in overall retinal visualization. Thus, this new device allow to perform not just faster SB surgery, but provide more confidence to the surgeons in their ability to detect retinal breaks too. Furthermore, thanks to this illumination system, physicians attending the surgery with the first operator can better watch the surgical fields too and this could be a really great advantage for the residency programs.

In conclusion, even if this is only a preliminary study, according to the results observed, chandelier illumination system seems to make RRD surgery with SB technique faster, thanks to an easier and better retinal visualization. Moreover this system allows to improve quality of teaching this technique. More papers are needed to state if this is a method that could be largely routinely used but these data, suggest that it is an interesting device to use in this kind of surgical procedure. It is important to underline that this is one of the first papers evaluating this surgical tool and it is presenting the larger populations study
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