Application of trigonometric function to calculate the safe movement angle of cannula in 23G double-channel silicone oil removal
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Abstract

- AIM: To explore the safe movement angle of a 23-gauge (G) cannula in double-channel silicone oil (SO) removal surgery.
- METHODS: From March 2017 to September 2017, 15 patients with SO filled eyes were enrolled in this retrospective analysis. Based on ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM), the distance from the front surface of the sclera at the 2 o’clock and the 10 o’clock positions to the SO bubble at 4 mm behind the corneal limbus was measured and defined as “A”. The length of the 23G cannula (4 mm) was defined as “C”. The width of the scleral inner wall at the maximum operating angle of the scleral trocar was defined as “B”. The safe movement angle of the 23G cannula was determined according to the trigonometric function table. Using the self-made SO removal device connected to the 23G puncture cannula, the SO was successfully removed from all patients.
- RESULTS: The average SO removal time for all patients was 4.78±0.13min. The trigonometric function was used to work out the distance from the scleral front surface to the SO bubble, which was 0.82-2.81 mm (1.62±0.41 mm) at the 2 o’clock position, and 0.98-2.19 mm (1.71±0.34 mm) at the 10 o’clock position. Finally, the verification analysis using geometric model calculation showed that the optimal movement angle of the cannula was 52°.
- CONCLUSION: Combining the trigonometric function and UBM measurement to calculate the safe movement angle of a 23G cannula can effectively guide the moving range of the trocar during SO removal. A movement angle of the cannula larger than 50° may avoid the occurrence of a retinal tear.
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INTRODUCTION

Retinopathy is an ocular disease that damages the optical and neuronal integrity which can be caused by the build-up of pressure inside the eye[1-2]. Vitreoretinal surgery has been widely used in a lot of types of retinopathy, such as vitreous loss, giant retinal tears, diabetic retinopathy, etc. In 1962, Cibis et al[3] first used silicone oil (SO) as an intraocular tamponade in retinal detachment with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. SOs are hydrophobic compounds composed of bonds between silicone and oxygen. This chemical structure makes SO an insert compound, which has some advantages for intraocular use since it can remain in situ for an extended period of time[4]. Currently, SO has been routinely used in vitreoretinal surgery[5]. However, a number of complications, including cataract, glaucoma, and keratopathy, can occur if the emulsified SO is not removed in time[6]. Hence, removal of SO is recommended as soon as a stable situation in the retina has been achieved in order to reduce the risk of anterior segment complications[7].

In the clinical setting, there are different techniques for SO removal, such as the use of 20-gauge (G) and 23G cannula with double-channel or three-channel technique[8-9]. Because the flow resistance of SO increases with reduction of the inner diameter of the extrusion cannula, 23G is more suitable for SO extraction in vitreoretinal surgery[10]. Yan et al[11] have shown a safe and effective SO removal surgery by a three-channel 23G...
sutureless incision. A comparison between a modified 23G cannula with suturing incision and traditional 20G vitrectomy for SO removal revealed that the former is better in terms of safety and efficacy.[12] Although the double-channel 23G incision has the characteristics of high negative pressure and high speed, it is difficult to observe the orifice of the double-channel cannula, and the width of the remaining vitreous skirt is different in each patient[13]. Furthermore, during the surgical procedure, with the decrease of SO in the vitreous cavity, it is necessary to adjust the direction of the 23G cannula to remove the final oil droplets. However, during this process, due to the presence of residual vitreous skirt in the puncture site, it is possible to damage the retina by inadvertently imbibing the vitreous body. Therefore, the establishment of the safe movement angle of the 23G cannula might avoid retinal damage during the operation.

A total of 15 patients with SO tamponade were enrolled in the present study, which was a retrospective analysis. The distance from the front surface of sclera to the SO bubble was measured by ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) before surgery. Meanwhile, the triangular function formula was used to calculate the safe movement angle of 23G cannula. We anticipated minimization of the retinal damage during oil extraction using the optimal movement angle of the 23G cannula that we planned to determine.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of the University of Science and Technology of China (Anhui Provincial Hospital). Informed consent forms were signed by all enrolled patients as required by the Helsinki Declaration.

Clinical Data From March 2017 to September 2017, a total of 15 patients (average age: 53.53±10.48 years) with SO filled eyes following retinal detachment surgery were enrolled in the present retrospective analysis. The filling duration of SO was 3-6mo, with an average of 4.33±1.23mo. These patients consisted of 6 men and 9 women, with 10 left eyes and 5 right eyes. Additionally, 3 cases were combined with cataractomy (phacoemulsification), 2 of whom underwent primary intraocular lens implantation. No obvious retinal detachment was observed in any of the patients during the dilated-pupil retinal examination.

UBM Detection Before Surgery The patient was placed in a supine position. In order to anesthetize the conjunctival surface, 0.5% tropicaine hydrochloride eye drops (Alcon, USA) were instilled. An eye cup that matched the examining eye was selected and placed in the conjunctival sac, with 0.9% normal saline as the examination medium. The distance from the front surface of the sclera at the 2 o’clock and 10 o’clock positions to the SO bubble at 4 mm behind the corneal limbus was measured using UBM (MD-300L, MEDA Co., Ltd).

Calculation of Moving Range of Trocar Based on Trigonometric Function Based on preoperative UBM detection, the distance between the front surface of the sclera at the 2 o’clock and the 10 o’clock positions, respectively, to the SO bubble at 4 mm behind the corneal limbus was measured and defined as “A”. The length of the 23G cannula (4 mm) was defined as “C”. The width of the scleral inner wall at the maximum operating angle of the scleral trocar was defined as “B” (“A”, “B”, and “C” formed a right-angled triangle). After this, the sinusoidal values of “A” and “C” were calculated using a trigonometric function, and the safe movement angle (the angle between trocar and scleral wall) of the 23G cannula was calculated according to the trigonometric function table. Finally, the activity range of the 23G cannula during the SO removal surgery was arrived at (Figure 1).

In addition, since the human eye is a spherical object in anatomical morphology, a geometric model that approximated to a sphere was constructed to further verify the above plan-based results.

Operative Procedure The patient was subjected to retrobulbar anesthesia with a 50% mixture of 2% lidocaine and 0.75% bupivacaine. Povidone iodine and saline were used to rinse the conjunctival sac. A 23G trocar was inserted into a 4 mm span of posterior corneal limbus beneath the temple and a perfusion tube was inserted (trocar puncture at the 10 o’clock position). A self-made 23G perfusion tube was connected to a 10 mL syringe (Figure 2) and then the 23G cannula was connected.

The SO was extracted by the syringe under negative pressure. In the process of oil extraction, the trocar was moved according to the direction of the SO bubble in the vitreous cavity. The moving range of the cannula was controlled according to the safe movement angle calculated from the trigonometric function.
function. At the end of oil extraction, the retinal fundus was observed with an optical fiber ophthalmoscope, and finally gas-liquid exchange was conducted under microscope illumination and corneal contact lens to replace the remaining emulsified oil droplets. The puncture site was sutured with 8-0 absorbable suture material.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All data were expressed as mean±SD. The comparison of the distance and the angle between the anterior surface of the scleral wall and the SO vesicle was conducted using independent t-test. *P* value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Silicone Oil Removal Time**

Based on the self-made SO removal device connected to a 23G puncture cannula, the SO was successfully removed from all patients with the double-channel removal method (Figure 3). The time taken for removal of SO was 4.78±0.13min. No retinal detachment was found at the end of the operation and no retinal injury was detected at the puncture site. No retinal detachment occurred during the follow-up period of 2mo. B-mode echography showed no significant SO remnant was observed during outpatient follow-up (Figure 4).

**Distance from the Front Surface of Sclera to the Silicone Oil Bubble**

According to the result of UBM examination, the distance from the scleral front surface to the SO bubble was 0.82-2.81 mm (1.62±0.41 mm) at the 2 o’clock position, and 0.98-2.19 mm (1.71±0.34 mm) at the 10 o’clock position. There was no statistical difference between the two groups (*P*>0.05).

**Safe Activity Angle of Puncture Cannula**

The distance from the front surface of the scleral wall to the SO bubble was defined as the length of side A, and the length of side C was 4 mm. Based on the trigonometric function measurements, the safe activity angle of the scleral cannula at the 2 o’clock position (the angle between the cannula and the scleral wall) should not be less than 11°-44° (24.14°±6.95°). Meanwhile, the safe activity angle of the scleral cannula at the 10 o’clock position should not be less than 14°-33° (25.45°±5.41°). There was no statistical difference between the two groups (*P*>0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Although SO removal after complex retinal detachment surgery is an essential procedure, it is necessary to improve the safety and accuracy of this operation[14]. In this study, 23G double-channel SO removal was performed in patients using a self-made SO removal device, and preoperative measurement.
data were used to guide the movement range of the cannula during the operation. The results showed that the maximum width of the vitreous skirt at the puncture site detected by UBM was 2.81 mm. Finally, based on the trigonometric function, the optimal activity angle of cannula should not be less than 50°. SO is widely used in vitreoretinal surgery, which provides long-term tamponade in cases of complicated retinal detachment. It is should be removed after three months or more if the retina remains attached[15]. Hitherto, many safe and effective techniques have been performed for SO removal in clinical ophthalmology, including 23G and 25G three-channel or double-channel SO removal operations[16-17]. One study showed a passive 23G SO removal system is a safe and efficient surgical technique for SO removal[18]. Hou et al[19] indicated that the operation of 23G SO removal via a self-made disposable transfusion set was safe, effective and economical. However, the residual vitreoretinal traction especially at the vitreous skirt is a major reason for retinal reattachment after SO removal[20]. One previous study shown that residual vitreous is one of the leading causes of retinal redetachment after SO removal surgery[21]. However, the width of residual vitreous skirt near the puncture site is not easily determined. In this study, the distance between the scleral wall and the SO surface was firstly measured by UBM to be 4 mm behind the corneal limbus. The result showed that the distance from the scleral front surface to the SO bubble was 0.82-2.81 mm (1.62±0.41 mm) at the 2 o’clock position, and 0.98-2.19 mm (1.71±0.34 mm) at the 10 o’clock position. Besides, there was no significant difference between the two groups. However, the vitreous residue is related to the surgical method adopted, and so may vary greatly between different surgical teams. In the 23G or 25G dual-channel SO removal process, as the SO bubbles decrease in size and number, it is often necessary to move the cannula so that the final SO droplets are always at the casing nozzle, and the SO is fully removed without leaving any residue. During the operation of SO removal, the cannula should be moved in line with the reduction of the SO bubble in order to keep the SO droplets in the cannula nozzle, which may reduce the risk of SO residue[21]. Moreover, during cannula movement process, the residual vitreous body may be mistakenly sucked or pulled, which may indirectly lead to retinal tear and may progress to retinal detachment[20-22]. Hence, an investigation into the range of safe movement of the cannula on the surface of the eye in surgical removal of SO is an important step to take. In this study, after measuring the residual thickness of vitreous body, the safe angle between the cannula and sclera wall was calculated indirectly by using a trigonometric function, namely a simple cosine formula. Combined with the data of safe activity angle of the scleral cannula at the 2 o’clock and the 10 o’clock positions, the results showed that the moving angle of the cannula should be greater than 44°. It has been reported that the image analysis procedures and numerical calculation systems are used to realize a computer model for clinical surgery[23]. Actually, the geometric model has been widely used in clinical ophthalmic surgery[24-25]. Matsumiya and Kaneko[26] have also shown that a numerical model construction could assist in certain surgical procedures on the eye. The present study extended this principle to compute the movement angle of the cannula to the case of a spherical object. The result revealed that the optimal movement angle was 52°. Since the scleral wall of the eyeball is neither straight nor circular, we speculated that during 23G double-channel SO removal surgery, the risk of occurrence of retinal hiatus might be reduced by the greatest margin when the cannula movement angle is greater than 50°. However, there were some limitations in this study such as small sample size, lack of comparison about the 23G and 25G SO removal system. To the best of our knowledge, 25G transconjunctival sutureless vitrectomy system (TSVS) has been widely applied today. Kapran and Acar[27] reported removal of SO of 1000 centistokes with 25G transconjunctival sutureless sclerotomies was effective and safe. Another study compared the 20G and 25G system in 5000 centistokes SO removal surgery. The results showed 25G SO removal system is safe and effective. The mean time of SO removing is 20±8min. Surgical time is significantly reduced using sutureless 25G sclerotomies[28]. As far as we know, 25G transconjunctival sutureless systems would take longer time to remove SO as the diameter of the instruments is small[29]. So 23G SO removal system still can be considered another method. One study showed a machine-independent method of having active removal of 5000 centistokes SO using 23G microcannulas[30]. The mean time for draining out the SO was 4.54±0.78min. In our study, we used a similar modified 23G SO removal device and the mean SO (5000 centistokes) removal time was 4.78±0.13min. Our results were consistent with the former study. Thus, 23G and 25G SO removal systems are both safe and effective methods. The machine-independent 23G device in this study may take a shorter time in SO removal surgery, especially for 5000 centistokes SO. But our study is limited by its small sample size, and a further study based on a larger sample size is needed. In conclusion, 23G double-channel SO removal is a safe and effective surgical technique. Moreover, preoperative measurement of thickness of residual vitreous by UBM can guide the movement angle of the cannula intraoperatively to avoid the occurrence of retinal tear.
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